



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,819	03/06/2002	Katsuhiro Ishii	Q68804	5697
23373	7590	04/20/2005	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			NGUYEN, THUAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2685	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/090,819

Applicant(s)

ISHII, KATSUHIRO

Examiner

THUAN T. NGUYEN

Art Unit

2685

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>attached</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. The information disclosure statements filed on 10/9/02, 12/31/03, and 4/7/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Only one IDS filed on 3/6/02 complies with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), and it has been considered by the examiner.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

*A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --*

*(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.*

4. Claims 1-3, and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto (U.S. Patent 6,297,694 B1).

Regarding claim 1, this limitation is met by Yamamoto as Yamamoto discloses a transmitting circuit using plural transmission frequency band, i.e., GSM 900 and DCS 1800 and a communication terminal unit comprising the transmitting circuit with an antenna and its other components (Fig. 1, and col. 4/line 50 to col. 5/line 41) comprising an input stage amplifier for amplifying an input signal and an operating condition setting circuit for controlling an optimally amplified frequency band by setting an operating condition of the input stage amplifier as well as a high pass filter and a low pass filter connected to an output of the input stage amplifier for amplifying a signal of frequency band passed by the high-pass filter and the low pass filter correspondingly, i.e., Figure 1 & 4 shows a same concept for a high frequency power amplifier for use within the mobile phone, as stated in col. 1/lines 13-62 for background on DCS 1800 and GSM 900, and with a first biasing circuit 3 and a second bias circuit 5 under the control of a bias

Art Unit: 2685

switching circuit 6 in controlling the operating condition of the input stage amplifier of Figure 1 through input IN1 and IN2 for different frequency bands GSM 900 and DCS 1800 using a high pass filter, a low pass filter, a high frequency band last stage amplifier for amplifying the signal of frequency band passed by the high pass filter together with a low frequency band last stage amplifier for amplifying the signal of frequency band passed by the low pass filter (col. 5/lines 1-41, Fig. 2 and col. 5/line 41 to col. 7/line 23 for detailed operation of the biasing circuits).

As for claim 2, this limitation is met as Yamamoto shows to include transistors and setting a bias voltage of the transistors (HBT or heterojunction bipolar transistor is used, col. 2/line 45-col. 3/line 5, and col. 3/lines 5-28 for bias voltage addressed using voltage control technique).

As for claim 3, this limitation is met as Yamamoto discloses to have dual band for GSM 900 and DCS 1800 frequency bands (Figs. 1 & 4, and col. 4/lines 52-67).

As for claims 5 and 6, these limitations are met as Yamamoto shows wherein all the amplifiers and filters are formed on same semiconductor die and wherein each of the amplifiers is produced by GaAs process (col. 1/lines 12-28).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

*(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.*

6. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto as in claim 1 above in view of Dent et al (US Pub No. 2002/0101907 A1).

Regarding claim 4, Yamamoto does not address that the communication device including “class C amplifier”; however, in a same field of providing multi-band communication, Dent teaches a same technique of using class C amplifier (Dent, Figs. 1, 4-5, and page 3/section 0033; and page 6/section 0057 for class C amplifier). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yamamoto’s technique with Dent’s technique of using class C amplifier in order to adapt to mismatch power level within the communication device, and Dent offers the adjustable matching network as disclosed for preserve the power amplifier linearity (Dent, page 6, section 0057).

As for claim 7, Yamamoto does not further show components of the mobile phone comprising an antenna, a transmitting, and a modulating/demodulating circuit; however, these features are known elements within a mobile communication device. In fact, Dent shows the mobile device comprises these claimed features (Figs. 2, 4 & 5, and page 4,

Art Unit: 2685

par. 0042 to page 5, par. 0048). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yamamoto's technique with Dent's known features of components within the mobile phone, and then applying Yamamoto's apparatus and method of high frequency power amplifier as discussed in claim 1 above.

### ***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Ishida et al and Masato (PTO 892 attached) disclose systems related to multiple frequency receiving circuit and amplifier technique.

8. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-5860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2685

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



**TONY T. NGUYEN**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**

Tony T. Nguyen  
Art Unit 2685  
April 13, 2005